

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/726,715	12/03/2003	David F. Chapman	FIT EXPRESS.WSD	6363	
7590 07/10/2007 William S. Dorman			EXAMINER		
830 Beacon Building			DONNELLY, JEROME W		
406 South Bou Tulsa, OK 741			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3764		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer:	10/726,715	CHAPMAN, DAVID F.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	S,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·= · ·	–· ∍action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	,	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	eΓ.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	fice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
. 2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appl	cation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	JEROME DONNELLY	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ei PRIMARY EXAMINER	
•			
Attachment(s)	The state of the s		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<del></del>	ail Date nal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	sterit rippiidusidis	

Application/Control Number: 10/726,715

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatfield in view of Applicants disclosed prior art fig. 3 and fig. 7, in view of Vortex for a better life.

In regard to claim 7, and the general shape of a device having a heel portion a vertical portion a lower base portion extending forwardly of the heel and a seat portion extending forwardly from the vertical portion above the base portion and means for attaching and securing a seat and a back support, note the device disclosed in the prior art Fig. 3 and 7 of applicants disclosure and note the general shape of the device of Hatfield which discloses the claimed shape of applicants invention and braces as claimed in claim 7.

Given the above teaching the examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to manufacture the device/side panels of Hatfield using laser cutting in view of the disclosure of Vortex (see frame construction and Engineering) wherein Vortex discloses laser precision and CNC machinery.

As to the device having attaching and securing means the examiner notes that these means are inherent in the assembly of a device having separate components, which have to be assembled.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,715

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY PRIMARY EXAMINER